

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov /

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/712,305	11/13/2000	Hieu T. Nguyen	NUY 301	8618
75	90 03/03/2004		EXAM	INER
Kolisch Hartwell Dickinson McCormack & Heuser			DONNELLY, JEROME W	
520 S W Yamhill Street Suite 200 Portland, OR 97204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3764	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/112 305	Nguyen /
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jerome W Donnelly	3764
The MAILING DATE of this communication app eri d for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1/10/03	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		47 mg 49-60
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	y and the
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	pted or b)☐ objected to by the I	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disa _l	pproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Appli	ication No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro		
ttachment(s)		ome W. Donnelly
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Surf	mary(

... Art Unit: 3764

After careful reconsideration of the restriction requirement of 10/9/03 the examiner believes that the application should be further restricted into the following species. The election of 11/10/03 is acknowledged and made final without traverse.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) Group I, Figs 1-20, Group II, Fig 21, Group III, Fig 22, Group IV, Figs 23+24.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, s 42-45 and 47 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 09/712,305

. Art Unit: 3764

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Donnelly/DI

February 24, 2004

Jerome W. Donnelly Primary Examiner